PRELIMINARY
EDITION FOR YOUR REVIEW

Sample Wealth Partners

$1.62B AUM · benchmarked against 998 firms with $1B–$2.5B in AUM
2026 edition · Figures for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2025

FIRM DATA ON FILE

AUM	ADVISORS	EMPLOYEES	CLIENTS	OFFICES	CUSTODIANS	HNW SHARE
$1.62B	12	26	940	3	2	72%

Every figure below is taken from the firm's own Form ADV: Part 1 for the reported figures and the Part 2A brochure for custodians. Indented rows are the underlying data that feeds the input above them; they carry no weight of their own. Every rank is a position within your peer group of 998 firms its size, never against the whole market: a firm at the 60th percentile on an input sits ahead of 60% of that group on it.

PRODUCTIVITY

Three inputs, weighted 50 / 30 / 20. Higher output per person or location ranks higher.

INPUT	YOUR FIRM	RANK VS PEERS	WEIGHT
AUM per advisor Total AUM ÷ advisor count	$135M	44th	50%
AUM per employee Total AUM ÷ employee count	$62M	28th	30%
AUM per office Total AUM ÷ office count	$540M	39th	20%

COMPLEXITY CARRIED

Six inputs, weighted 25 / 20 / 20 / 15 / 10 / 10. A harder book ranks higher.

INPUT	YOUR FIRM	RANK VS PEERS	WEIGHT
Clients per advisor Client count ÷ advisor count	78.3	59th	25%
Client count	940		
Advisor count	12		
Accounts per advisor Account count ÷ advisor count	123.3	33rd	20%
Account count	1,480		
High net worth concentration Share of AUM × average account size	72% of AUM	37th	20%
HNW AUM per client	$3M		
Custodial relationships Custodian count, ranked within your peer group	2 custodians	47th	15%
Discretionary share Discretionary AUM ÷ total AUM; less discretion ranks higher	91% of AUM	74th	10%
Office footprint Office count, ranked within your peer group	3 offices	59th	10%

SUSTAINED GROWTH

Four inputs, weighted 35 / 30 / 20 / 15. Each blends one, three and five year rates toward the most recent; a dash marks a year with no earlier filing on record.

INPUT	YOUR FIRM	RANK VS PEERS	WEIGHT
Market-adjusted AUM growth 1yr / 3yr / 5yr blend, less a 60/40 market return	+5.2%	57th	35%
One year / three year / five year	+6.2% · +4.4% · +3.9%		
Operating leverage trend Market-adjusted AUM growth less advisor headcount growth	+1.0 pts	56th	30%
Advisor headcount growth blend	+4.2%		
Client count trajectory 1yr / 3yr / 5yr blend	+4.7%	48th	20%
One year / three year / five year	+5.2% · +4.4% · +3.9%		
High net worth client trajectory 1yr / 3yr / 5yr blend	+9.9%	48th	15%
One year / three year / five year	+11.0% · +9.4% · +8.1%		

Methodology. Every input is ranked within a peer group of firms of similar assets under management, never against the full market. Every input is ranked the same way, with no bespoke scale, threshold or lookup table. The three component scores are combined multiplicatively, with productivity as the base and complexity and growth applied as bounded adjustment factors, so that each raises or lowers the credit productivity earns without being able to substitute for it. The result is ranked within the peer group and published as a percentile against that group, bounded at 1 and 99 so that no firm shows 0 or 100. Full input definitions and known limitations are described in the public methodology summary, How the index works. The specific weights and combination parameters are set by judgment; they are disclosed to each firm during its review and are not published.

Important disclosures. This document is a preliminary working draft prepared for methodology review and data verification. It has not been finalized or independently verified, and all figures are subject to change. It is provided for informational and discussion purposes only. Inputs are derived solely from publicly available Form ADV filings: Part 1 as most recently filed and on record with the SEC on October 1, 2026, and the firm's Part 2A brochure for custodial relationships. Because advisers file and amend Form ADV on their own schedules, the underlying figures carry different as-of dates from one firm to the next and may be as much as several quarters old. Assets under management, client counts, advisory personnel, office locations and custodial relationships may since have changed. Figures may also be incomplete or inaccurate where a filing is ambiguous or a data point is not reported. The Operating Efficiency Index is a relative measure of operating characteristics within a peer cohort. It is not a rating, ranking, endorsement, audit, valuation, or assessment of investment performance, advisory quality, financial condition, or regulatory standing, and it should not be relied upon for any investment, business, or personnel decision. Component weights reflect the authors' judgment and have not been validated against outcomes. No firm named in this document has participated in, reviewed, sponsored, or endorsed this analysis, and no affiliation with or endorsement by any named firm is implied. Historical growth figures are not indicative of future results.